

Custody Chains and Remoteness

Disconnecting Investors from Issuers

paper available on SSRN:

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2413025

Dr E Micheler



Custody chains

Investor
Custodian 1
Custodian 2
Custodian 3
CSD
Issuer



Dilution of Investor Rights

Liability for Custody Services

- for custodian's own conduct
- for conduct of sub-custodian

Dilution of Investor Rights

Erosion of Contractual Terms

- Sub-custodians are instructed ‘upon such terms as may be customary’
- Custodian ‘may, from time to time, determine the terms and conditions of arrangement’ with sub-custodian

Obstruction of Enforcement

Enforcement by custodians

Delivery of certificates

Collapsing trusts

Assignment

Claiming as an intermediate owner

Obstruction of Enforcement

High enforcement cost

Time consuming process

Asset values and enforcement cost



Asset Values and Enforcement

Eckerle v Wickeder [2013] EWHC 68 (Ch)

Investor

German Custodian(s)

Clearstream AG

Bank of New York Depository (Nominees) Ltd

DNick Holdings Plc



Custody Chains and Stewardship

Custody chains are independent of both investors and issuers

Implications for the governance of issuers



Problems with Custody Chains

Reduction of liability for services

Erosion of contractual terms

Obstruction of enforcement

Custody chains have become independent from
investors and issuers

Asset values

Stewardship

Solutions

Can law overcome the problem?
Market infrastructure

